



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/513,239	02/24/2000	Paul W. Romig	28542.00059	6208
30256 75	590 10/31/2003		EXAMINER	
SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P			LUONG, SHIAN TINH NHAN	
600 HANSEN ' PALO ALTO,	WAY CA 94304-1043		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ŕ			3728	
		•		

DATE MAILED: 10/31/2003

27

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

* a			NK			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	09/513,239	Romig, et al.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Shian T Luong	3728				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply	ars on the cov rs	heet with the correspondence a	address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	66 (a). In no event, however within the statutory mining ill apply and will expire Signatuse the application to lease the	ver, may a reply be timely filed num of thirty (30) days will be considered ti IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the specome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	imely. is communication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 A	<u>lugust 2003</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Thi	s action is non-fin	al.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under I			the merits is			
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-10 and 22-29</u> is/are pending in the	application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 22 and 29 is/are with	drawn from consid	leration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-10 and 23-28</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or	election requirem	ent.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been recei	ved.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been recei	ved in Application No				
Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	reau (PCT Rule 17	7.2(a)).	nal Stage			
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for dome	•					
Attachment(s)						
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) 🗌	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Pape	er No(s)			
 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2 	19) 🗌 26 20) 🗍	Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:	n (PTO-152)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 01-01) Application/Control Number: 09/513,239 Page 2

Art Unit: 3728

Drawings

1. The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed substitute sheets of drawings, filed on 7/25/02 has been partially approved. Figure 7 has been accepted while the original disclosure

does not disclose the specific shape and structure of the plunger in the needle assembly.

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every

feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the IV bag must be shown or the

features canceled from claim 24. Also, the conventional IV bag does not appear to have the

needle assembly within the bag. No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office

action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held

in abeyance.

Election/Restriction

3. Claims 22 and 29 have been withdrawn from further consideration as a result of the restriction issued on 4/8/03. Claims 23-25 dependent upon from claim 8 and are part of the elected invention. Hence, the rejection is directed to claims 8-10 and 23-28.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

Application/Control Number: 09/513,239

Art Unit: 3728

ŀ

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8-10 and 23-28 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable 4. over Katsura et al (US 5,223,315) in view of Heider (US 5,405,667) and Admitted Prior Art. Katsura et al suggest a container equipped with a label. The label in Figure 1-B has a print layer 3 attached to a metallic layer 5. The metallic layer is bonded to the container. Figure 1C shows a print layer 3 attached to a metal layer 5a and the metal layer 5a is attached to a lower polymeric layer 2a. Katsura et al disclose generally all of the elements of the claims, but lacks a metallized polyester layer bonded directly to the bottle without adhesive. Heider teaches a plastic container having a mutlilayer label heat bonded thereto. In the background section of the specification, Heider stated that it has been found that applying the labels during the molding process reduce costs of manufacture and produces an attractive container. Conventionally, adhesive is on the label and is heated to adhere the label to the container. However, the use of such a label has inherent problem in that when the plastic container cools, the plastic and paper have different rate of shrinkage and the paper wrinkles and stresses occur in the adhesive. To prevent such occurrence, Heider provided a label used in the labeling process that adhere to the bottle without adhesive. Also, Admitted Prior Art on page 1 of the specification discloses the conventional placement of a label on a semi-permeable plastic container. This includes bottles, cellophanewrapped styrofoam plates and IV fluids bag. Thus, it would have been obvious in view of Heider and Admitted Prior Art to adhere the label with the metallized layer directly to the container without adhesive to prevent wrinkles. It would have also have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the metal layer out of

Application/Control Number: 09/513,239

Art Unit: 3728

metallized polyester, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

The newly amended language on lines 6-10 does not overcome the combined prior art. Applicant recites the functional feature of the metallic layer and argued that the combined references do not recite the prevention of the contamination from the ink or adhesive. But applicant has claimed neither an adhesive nor ink with the safe container. Moreover, the combined references have the same structure as the base reference, mainly a metallic layer bonded to an exterior surface of a bottle. Hence, it is intuitive that the combined references would function in the same manner as the limitations presented in claim 8.

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/513,239

Art Unit: 3728

Telephone inquiries regarding the status of applications or other general questions, by persons entitled to the information, should be directed to the group clerical personnel and not to

the examiners. In as much as the official records and applications are located in the clerical

section of the examining groups, the clerical personnel can readily provide status information

without contacting the examiners, M.P.E.P. 203.08. The Group clerical receptionist number is

(703) 308-1148 or the Tech Center 3700 Customer Service Center number is (703) 306-5648.

If in receiving this Office Action it is apparent to applicant that certain documents are

missing, e.g., copies of references cited, form PTO-1449, form PTO-892, etc., requests for copies

of such papers should be directed to Donna Monroe at (703) 308-2209.

For applicant's convenience, the formal FAX number is (703) 872-9306. This practice

may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which

require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify

Examiner Luong of Art Unit 3728 at the top of your cover sheet of any correspondence

submitted.

Inquiries concerning the merits of the examination should be directed to Shian Luong

whose telephone number is (703) 308-2039. The examiner can normally be reached on M-H

from 7:00am to 4:00pm EST.

Shian Luong

Art Unit 3728

STL October 30, 2003